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America: Two Enduring Stories
1. **Land of Opportunity:**

Work hard, and you can become anything you want to be.
2. Generational Advancement:

Through hard work, each generation of parents can assure a better life — and better education — for their children.
Powerful narratives.

Fast slipping away.
Within the US, income inequality has been growing by leaps and bounds...
Instead of being the most equal, the U.S. now has the third highest income inequality among OECD nations.

Note: Gini coefficient ranges from 0 to 1, where 0 indicates total income equality and 1 indicates total income inequality.

And it’s not just inequality in wages and wealth, but problems with economic mobility as well.
U.S. intergenerational mobility was increasing until 1980, but has sharply declined since.

The falling elasticity meant increased economic mobility until 1980. Since then, the elasticity has risen, and mobility has slowed.

US now has one of lowest rates of intergenerational mobility

Cross-country examples of the link between father and son wages

At macro level, better and more equal education—especially postsecondary education—is not the only thing we have to do to improve opportunity and mobility in America.

But at the individual level, it really is.
Yet here, too, we are falling behind the rest of the developed world.
Among adults overall, we’re still relatively strong in educational attainment

Percentage of residents aged 25-64 with a postsecondary degree

Note: Adults with a postsecondary degree include those who have completed either a tertiary-type B program (programs that last for at least two years, are skill-based, and prepare students for direct entry into the labor market) or a tertiary-type A program (programs that last at least three, but usually four, years, are largely theory-based, and provide qualifications for entry into highly-skilled professions or advanced research programs)

But our world standing drops to 15th for younger adults

Percentage of residents aged 25-34 with a postsecondary degree

Note: Adults with a postsecondary degree include those who have completed either a tertiary-type B program (programs that last for at least two years, are skill-based, and prepare students for direct entry into the labor market) or a tertiary-type A program (programs that last at least three, but usually four, years, are largely theory-based, and provide qualifications for entry into highly-skilled professions or advanced research programs)

We’re near the bottom in intergenerational progress

Difference in percentage of residents aged 45-54 and those aged 25-34 with a postsecondary degree

Note: Adults with a postsecondary degree include those who have completed either a tertiary-type B program (programs that last for at least two years, are skill-based, and prepare students for direct entry into the labor market) or a tertiary-type A program (programs that last at least three, but usually four, years, are largely theory-based, and provide qualifications for entry into highly-skilled professions or advanced research programs)

Whether our schools and colleges **step up**, in other words, is hugely important to our economy, our democracy, and our society.
So, how are we doing?
First, some good news.

After more than a decade of fairly flat achievement and stagnant or growing gaps in K-12, we appear to be turning the corner.
Since 1999, large gains for all groups of students, especially students of color

9 Year Olds – NAEP Reading

*Denotes previous assessment format
Eighth-Grade Math: Progress for all groups, some gap narrowing

13-Year Olds – NAEP Math

Average Scale Score


African American | Latino | White

*Denotes previous assessment format

Source: NAEP 2008 Trends in Academic Progress, NCES
12th Grade Math: Progress for all groups, but smaller

Grade 12 – NAEP Math

Source: National Center for Education Statistics, NAEP Data Explorer, http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/nde/ (Proficient Scale Score = 176; Basic Scale Score = 141)
In other words, reason to be encouraged—if cautiously so.

Different story in Michigan.
Ten Year Growth in Reading Scores by State

Average Scale Score Change, NAEP Grade 4 - Reading - All Students (2003-13)

Note: Basic Scale Score = 208; Proficient Scale Score = 238
Source: NAEP Data Explorer, NCES
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Scale Scores by State – African-American Students

Grade 4 – NAEP Reading (2013)

- NAEP Data Explorer, NCES (Proficient Scale Score = 238; Basic Scale Score = 208)
Scale Scores by State – White Students

Grade 4 – NAEP Reading (2013)

- NAEP Data Explorer, NCES (Proficient Scale Score = 238; Basic Scale Score = 208)
# Michigan NAEP Performance

Relative Rank of All Students Falls 2003-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade/Subject</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4th Grade Reading</td>
<td>28&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Grade Math</td>
<td>27&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>41&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Grade Reading</td>
<td>27&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Grade Math</td>
<td>34&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>37&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Rankings are among all 50 states
Source: NCES, NAEP Data Explorer
## Michigan NAEP Performance

Relative Rank of African-American Students Falls 2003-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>38&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>39&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>45&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>37&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>43&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>29&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>37&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>35&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>39&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>41&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Rankings are among the states that reported data for African-American students.

Source: NCES, NAEP Data Explorer
### Michigan NAEP Performance

Relative Rank of Latino Students Falls 2003-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Level</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4th Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>22\textsuperscript{nd}</td>
<td>15\textsuperscript{th}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>16\textsuperscript{th}</td>
<td>25\textsuperscript{th}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>5\textsuperscript{th}</td>
<td>13\textsuperscript{th}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>4\textsuperscript{th}</td>
<td>12\textsuperscript{th}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Rankings are among the states that reported data for Latino students.

Source: NCES, NAEP Data Explorer
## Michigan NAEP Performance

Relative Rank of Higher Income Students Falls 2003-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>4th Grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>24th</td>
<td>35th</td>
<td>36th</td>
<td>36th</td>
<td>35th</td>
<td>38th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>20th</td>
<td>29th</td>
<td>35th</td>
<td>35th</td>
<td>43rd</td>
<td>32nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8th Grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>21st</td>
<td>37th</td>
<td>36th</td>
<td>31st</td>
<td>30th</td>
<td>31st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>34th</td>
<td>35th</td>
<td>38th</td>
<td>39th</td>
<td>40th</td>
<td>39th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Rankings are among all 50 states
Source: NCES, NAEP Data Explorer
And those are statewide averages.

What about Detroit?
4th Grade Reading: Detroit and Cleveland Bring Up the Rear Among Big Cities

Source: NAEP Data Explorer, NCES
8th Grade Math: Detroit Worst Big City in the Country for African Americans

AVERAGE SCALE SCORES, BY DISTRICT
AFRICAN-AMERICAN STUDENTS
Grade 8 – NAEP Math (2013)

Source: NAEP Data Explorer, NCES.
Detroit Not Worst District for African Americans in Michigan: Saginaw, Ypsilanti, Grand Rapids and Others Perform Lower
Detroit Not Worst for Latinos, Either: Grand Rapids, Battle Creek, Pontiac Perform Lower
And for those of you who have decided that the “answer” is fast growth of charters....
Fall 2012 MEAP Math Proficiency Rates of All Students at Charter and Regular Public Schools

Source: Fall 2012 MEAP Four Year (Gap Analysis).
Fall 2012 MEAP Reading Proficiency Rates of All Students at Charter and Regular Public Schools

Source: Fall 2012 MEAP Four Year (Gap Analysis).
Add them all together and compare with other states?

Michigan is generally both low performing and low improving—not a good place to be in a country that is at best only middle-of-the-pack.
What Can You Do?

Main lessons from fast-improving states.
STALLED TO SOARING: Michigan’s Path to Educational Recovery
2014 STATE OF MICHIGAN EDUCATION REPORT

The Education Trust–Midwest
First, don’t accept the excuses.
What we hear many say:

• They’re poor.
• Their parents don’t care.
• They come to school without breakfast.
• They don’t have enough books.
• They don’t have enough parents.
But if there’s truly nothing that we can do, why are low-income students and students of color performing so much higher—and growing so much faster—in some schools? Some districts? Even some whole states?
Tennessee African-American Students Outpace Mi Over Last Decade

NAEP Grade 4 – Reading – African American

Source: National Center for Education Statistics, State Comparisons Tool
# NAEP Grade 4 Reading – African-American Students

States with the Biggest Gains in Mean Scale Scores (2003 – 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: On average, mean scale scores in reading for African-American fourth-grade students increased by 8 points from 2003 to 2013.  
Source: National Center for Education Statistics, NAEP Data Explorer
## NAEP Grade 4 Reading – Latino Students

**States with the Biggest Gains in Mean Scale Scores (2003 – 2013)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: On average, mean scale scores in reading for Latino fourth-grade students increased by 7 points from 2003 to 2013.  
Source: National Center for Education Statistics, NAEP Data Explorer
African-American Students Improving in Math in Leading States

NAEP Grade 8 – Math – African-American

Source: National Center for Education Statistics, State Comparisons Tool
You can help by pointing to the successes—and by pressing for similar results elsewhere.
Yes, this may make you “annoying.” But in the end, you don’t do education leaders any favors by being too nice. The good ones will use your demands to leverage change.
Second, keep up your push around early education, especially for low-income children.
High quality pre-school is the best investment we can make. It pays to prevent problems rather than ameliorate them later.
4th Grade Reading: Michigan Low Performing, Low Growth while Florida is High Performing, High Growth

Source:

The green lines represent national averages: the vertical green line represents the national average improvement, and the horizontal green line represents the average 2013 performance – for the subject, grade and group you chose. The focus state appears in red.
Florida: One of the Nation’s Top Gainers in Reading

• Universal pre-k;
• Investment in high quality professional development for elementary reading teachers, perhaps the best in the country;
• Significant supports for struggling readers, accompanied by end to social promotion.
Michigan: Giant Steps Forward

- In 2012-13, Michigan ranked 24th overall for pre-K access, with about 21% of four-year-olds enrolled in pre-K.
- In May 2013, the MI legislature passed a $65 million expansion (a 60 percent increase) in early childhood funding, the largest dollar figure expansion in the country. The investment in the Great Start Readiness Program (GRSP) allowed at least 10,000 more low- and moderate-income four year olds to attend publically funded preschool.
- In December 2013, Michigan was one of six states that won a $51.7 million grant through the USDOE Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge grant to be spent over four years.
- In January 2014, Gov. Snyder asked for an additional $65 million to support GRSP.
Third, support Common Core State Standards.

Current standards are varied and, in many states, far too low.
46 states and DC have adopted Common Core
8th Grade Math: Michigan Low Performing, Low Growth, while Massachusetts is High Performing, High Growth

Source:
What led to Massachusetts’ success?

• Major reforms began in 1993 with education grand bargain:
  – Career- and College- Ready Expectations for All K-16 Students
  – Investments in Effective Teaching and School Leadership
  – Support for All Teachers
  – Fair Funding for Schools
Massachusetts: Career- and College- Ready Expectations & Teacher Supports

College- and Career- Ready Expectations

- National leader in holding all students to rigorous standards, which included a new comprehensive assessment system.
- Developed statewide curriculum frameworks & standards in core academic subjects.

Support for All Teachers

- Now, CCSS training for thousands of educators.
- Expanded learning time.
- Joined other states to create tool to help educators assess quality of their lessons.
Investment in Systems Improvement

• **Increased state support**, with a formula that sent extra resources to higher poverty schools and expanded learning time. Today, average per pupil spending is almost double what it is in Michigan.

• **Emphasis on effective teaching and leadership**, including teacher performance standards; annual evaluations of teachers and administrators; raised certification requirements; accountability for teacher prep programs; investment in development and retention of top teachers.
Fourth, help keep momentum in the teacher effectiveness movement.
Students in Dallas Gain More in Math with Effective Teachers: One Year Growth From 3rd-4th Grade

DIFFERENCES IN TEACHER EFFECTIVENESS ACCOUNT FOR LARGE DIFFERENCES IN STUDENT LEARNING

The distribution of value-added scores for ELA teachers in LAUSD
ACCESS TO MULTIPLE EFFECTIVE TEACHERS CAN DRAMATICALLY AFFECT STUDENT LEARNING

CST math proficiency trends for second-graders at ‘Below Basic’ or ‘Far Below Basic’ in 2007 who subsequently had three consecutive high or low value-added teachers.
4th Grade Math:
Michigan Low Performing, Low Growth, while Tennessee is High Growth and Almost High Performing

Source:
Tennessee African-American Students Outpace Mi Over Last Decade

NAEP Grade 4 – Reading – African American

Source: National Center for Education Statistics, State Comparisons Tool
What led to Tennessee’s Recent Success?

• A commitment to education reform, through a change in governors:
  – Research-based, comprehensive statewide reform effort with a focus on improving teaching quality
  – Collaboration across sectors
  – Strong investment and implementation over time
Effective Teaching and School Leadership

• Tennessee has put a laser-like focus on effective teaching and school leadership.
  – All teachers evaluated based on classroom observations and student learning data through the statewide evaluation system.
  • Tennessee has trained **5,000** evaluators in the system.
  – One of the nation’s first value-added data systems
  – Multiple observations of classroom practice in final evaluations and individual evaluations private
Career- and College- Ready Expectations for All Students

- Tennessee phased in the Common Core standards over multiple years, starting with math in grades 3-8 and a pilot of English standards in 60 school districts.
- Invested $15 million in Common Core training. Identified more than 700 teachers with strong learning gains and trained them to be Common Core coaches for more than 30,000 educators.
- Developed a pre-K through higher education (P-20) longitudinal student data system and piloted early warning data system so educators could monitor real-time indicators of at-risk student progress.
Finally, mind the gaps in opportunity and achievement.
True, gaps in achievement begin before children arrive at the schoolhouse door.

But, rather than organizing our educational system to ameliorate this problem, we organize it to exacerbate the problem.
We spend less on their education...
Funding Gaps *Within States*: National inequities in state and local revenue per student

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gap</th>
<th>High-Poverty versus Low-Poverty Districts</th>
<th>High-Minority versus Low-Minority Districts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–$773 per student</td>
<td>–$1,122 per student</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We expect less of them.....
Students in poor schools receive As for work that would earn Cs in affluent schools.

We teach them less...
Even African-American students with high math performance in fifth grade are unlikely to be placed in algebra in eighth grade.

And we assign them disproportionately to our least experienced, least well-educated, and least effective teachers...
Students at high-minority schools more likely to be taught by novice* teachers.

Note: High minority school: 75% or more of the students are Black, Hispanic, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian or Pacific Islander. Low-minority school: 10% or fewer of the students are non-White students. Novice teachers are those with three years or fewer experience.

Math classes at high-poverty, high-minority secondary schools are more likely to be taught by out-of-field* teachers.

Note: High-poverty school: 55 percent or more of the students are eligible for free/reduced-price lunch. Low-poverty school :15 percent or fewer of the students are eligible for free/reduced-price lunch. High-minority school: 78 percent or more of the students are black, Hispanic, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian or Pacific Islander. Low-minority school : 12 percent or fewer of the students are non-white students.

*Teachers with neither certification nor major. Data for secondary-level core academic classes (math, science, social studies, English) across the U.S.

Source: Education Trust Analysis of 2007-08 Schools and Staffing Survey data.
Los Angeles: Black, Latino students have fewer highly effective teachers, more weak ones.

Latino and black students are:

- 3X as likely to get low-effectiveness teachers
- 1/2 as likely to get highly effective teachers

The results are devastating.

Kids who come in a little behind, leave a lot behind.
Those practices aren’t good for kids. They are not good for our country. And they are not good for business.
We are taking the diversity that should be our competitive advantage in the international marketplace, and obliterating it.
Don’t just stand by and watch, even if they are not “your” kids. Speak up. Demand the data. Demand progress.
Download this presentation and learn more about the Education Trust.

www.edtrust.org