



Washtenaw ISD

LETTER GRADE¹

A

Overall Score: **100.0**

- Number of Schools: **1**
- Total Enrollment: **246**
- Michigan Charter Market Share: **.17%**

Demographic Breakdown:

4.1% African American Students

9.8% Latino Students

0% American Indian Students

12.2% Low-Income Students

Is the authorizer approving high-performing charter operator openings?²

N/A

Is the authorizer overseeing a portfolio of schools that is as good as, or better than, other school options available to parents?³

100.0

Is the authorizer improving its chronically failing schools?⁴

100.0

Intermediate School Districts (ISD) are county or multi-county education agencies, intended to provide services and support to local school districts within their boundaries. ISDs also have the authority to approve the opening of charter schools, although not all of them do.

Washtenaw Intermediate School District is unusual in that it only has one school – Honey Creek Community School of Ann Arbor – which it opened in 1995 because it is so selective.

Honey Creek Community School, which now serves students in grades K-8, consistently ranks among the top 20 percent of schools each academic year. According to the 2013-14 accountability scorecard, 96 percent of Honey Creek students were proficient in reading.

The school is self-managed, meaning that the school board and staff run the school, rather than a charter operator.

According to Washtenaw ISD, they have received countless proposals for potential new schools, but only Honey Creek Community School has met the authorizer's high bar for opening. Washtenaw ISD also continues to monitor Honey Creek Community School's academic data, including a comprehensive evaluation when the school was up for contract renewal in 2013.



www.edtrustmidwest.org/accountability-for-all

The Education Trust—Midwest

Note: Number of schools as of fall 2015. Enrollment and demographic information as of 2014-15.

1 Letter grades are as follows: A: 90-100, B: 80-89, C: 70-79, D: 50-69, F: 0-49.

2 This score represents the percentage of schools the authorizer opened from fall 2011 to fall 2015 that were managed by operators that met a statewide minimum quality standard over three years (between 2011-12 and 2013-14). An operator did not meet our statewide minimum quality standard if more than half of its schools failed a statewide minimum quality standard for three consecutive academic years, 2011-12 through 2013-14. Authorizers that did not open new school between fall 2011 and 2015 were not scored for this category.

3 This score represents the percentage of an authorizer's current schools that met a statewide minimum quality standard over three consecutive academic years, 2011-12 through 2013-14.

4 This score represents the percentage of an authorizer's schools that were among the state's bottom five percent of lowest-performing schools for two consecutive academic years (any two consecutive years between 2011-12 and 2013-14), remain open, and did not demonstrate above state average improvement in the second year, out of the authorizer's schools that were among the bottom five percent for the first time between 2010-11 and 2012-13.